



Introduction

Sorting:

- In a sorting task: assessors (participants) sort stimuli by similarity
- Free vs constrained: Assessors may or may not be told the number of stimulus categories
- > Verbal descriptions of stimuli can also be analyzed, and can enrich interpretation⁵
- Fields: consumer preference (food, beer, wine, textile, perfume)^{6,7} sensory evaluation (smell, taste, sound, touch), cognition (music)
- Applications: R&D, quality control, marketing⁷
- Advantages of sorting:
- > Requires minimal training. Amateurs and experts often give similar results^{5,6}, though similarity between amateurs and experts may differ by stimulus type⁷
- Relatively easy, fast, and not fatiguing, even for many stimuli⁵
- > Does not require *a priori* selection of attributes/categories
- Disadvantages of sorting:
- \succ May be less accurate than ratings (a.k.a., profiling)^{5,6}
- > Analysis
- > Data: Square dissimilarity matrices
- > Analyzed often by MDS. MDS maps *overall* perceived similarity of stimuli
- > DiSTATIS reveals *individuals* perceived similarity of stimuli
- > Other potential applications of (Di)DiSTATIS: brain data (functional and/or structural connectivity)

References:

- 1. Abdi, H. (2007). Metric multidimensional scaling: Analyzing distance matrices. In N. J. Salkind (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Measurement and Statistics (pp. 598–605). Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage.
- . Abdi, H., & Williams, L. J. (2010a). Principal component analysis. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics, 2(August), 433–459.
- 3. Abdi, H., & Williams, L. J. (2010b, June 23). Barycentric Discriminant Analysis. In Encyclopedia of research design.
- 4. Abdi, H., Williams, L. J., Valentin, D., & Bennani-Dosse, M. (2012). STATIS and DISTATIS: Optimum multitable principal component analysis and three way metric multidimensional scaling. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics, 4, 124–167.
- 5. Cartier, R., Rytz, A., Lecomte, A., Poblete, F., Krystlik, J., Belin, E., & Martin, N. (2006). Sorting procedure as an alternative to quantitative descriptive analysis to obtain a product sensory map. *Food Quality and Preference*, 17(7-8), 562–571.
- 6. Chollet, S., Lelièvre, M., Abdi, H., & Valentin, D. (2011). Sort and beer: Everything you wanted to know about the sorting task but did not dare to ask. Food Quality and Preference, 22(6), 507–520.
- 7. Chollet, S., Valentin, D., & Abdi, H. (2014). Free Sorting Task. In P. V. Tomasco & G. Ares (Eds.), Novel Techniques in Sensory *Characterization and Consumer Profiling* (pp. 207–227). Boca Raton: Taylor and Francis.

Multivariate techniques for sorting data: DiSTATIS and Discriminant DiSTATIS Kriegsman, M.A.^{1*}, Raman, R.¹, Tillman, B.², Dowling, W.J.¹, & Abdi, H.¹

²Lyon Neuroscience Research Center, Lyon, France

(Di)DiSTATIS: PCA + dissimilarity + multitable (+ discriminant)

PCA (Principal Component Analysis):

- > The core of these multivariate methods
- Eigen-decomposition (square psd matrices)
- Singular Value Decomposition (rectangular matrices)





*Structuration des Tableaux `a Trois Indices de la Statistique Roughly translated: Structuring Three-Way Statistical Tables



¹The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA

- *Michael.Kriegsman@utdallas.edu



An Example in Music Cognition

Experimental question:

Do assessors perceive the stylistic differences between 3 composers, Bach, Beethoven, and Mozart?

Experimental Designs:

- Constrained sort into 3
- \succ Unbeknownst to assessors, 3 composers
- Two Experiments: (1) MIDI sound clips, (2) recordings (by 4 pianists: Richter, Arrau, Pires, Barenboim

Results Summary / Discussion:

- Better discrimination for MIDI > Recordings, DiDiSTATIS > DISTATIS.
- > Across all analyses: hoven opposed Mozart
- Recordings showed: Barenboim was Beethoven-like, **Richter** was Mozart-like
- \succ No main effect of experience, except on recordings, where experience = \uparrow sorting variability
- \succ Only some songs within each category were consistently sorted
- > DISTATIS vs DIDISTATIS: DiDiSTATIS had ↑ %var on Component 1, but only a modest \uparrow in \mathbb{R}^2



