

### INTRODUCTION

- Hearing assistive devices/systems (HADS) are intended to facilitate hearing by providing amplification of an acoustic signal and/or improving signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).
- Digital modulated (DM) signal transmission systems operate on a 2.4 GHz bandwidth and transmit signals directly from a transmitter or remote microphone (RM) on the talker to a receiver worn by the listener.
- ANSI S3.47-2014 provides recommended measurements for performance verification.
- American Academy of Audiology Clinical Practice Guidelines (AAA-2011 Guidelines) state electroacoustic transparency occurs when equal inputs to the HADS and hearing aid (HA) microphone produce equal outputs from the HA.

### PURPOSE

• Part 1 : The primary goal of this study was to compare and verify the electroacoustic analysis (EAA) of HADS in different DM transmission arrangements per ANSI S3.47-2014 standard.

Part 2 : The secondary goal was to evaluate the transparency of HADS based on AAA-2011 Guidelines.

### METHOD

### HAs with undamped ear hooks

- Oticon Opn Play 2 BTE PP
- Oticon Opn 3 BTE PP

### HA programming

www.PosterPresentations.co

- Part 1 (EAA measurement): Flat 100 dBHL sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) with maximum power output
- Part 2 (Transparency measurement):
- Flat 50dBHL & 100dBHL SNHL
- Desired Sensation Level (DSL v5.0) fitting formula with junior fitting mode and average real-ear-tocoupler difference values (Seewald et al. 2005) for a 10-year-old listener
- Adaptive features such as noise reduction and directionality disabled

### **Electroacoustic analysis procedure**

- HA attached to a 2cc coupler and output measured in a calibrated Fonix 8000 hearing aid test system-Frye Electronics, Inc.
- All measurement values were obtained with five measurements when variables were held constant.

# **Electroacoustic Evaluation of Pediatric-Focused Hearing Assistive Devices/Systems** in Different Digital Signal Transmission Arrangements Tz-Ching Kao, B.S., Linda Thibodeau, Ph.D. The University of Texas at Dallas, Callier Center for Communication Disorders

METHOD												
Transmissi Direct	on arrangement audio input		Transmitte	ansmitter unit		aring aids Oticon	Re Phonak		eiver unit oger X receiver*			
Phonak R set to ve Induction loop			onak Roger To et to verificati	hak Roger Touchscreen to verification mode		ph Play 2 BTE PP	(I Pho	Easy gain=0) w onak Roger My	ith FM 10 audio shoe /Link (Volume=midway)			
						& Oticon		with HA set a	at telecoil program			
Direct digital streaming Oticon Conn microphone m			n ConnectClip hone mode &	set to remote default volume		Opn 3 BTE PP	HA paired with ConnectClip (HA/RM ratio=0 dB)					
Table 1. Equi	ipment and test setu Roger X receiver was	ips of three tra	nsmission arran	gements. Note: BTE=	behind-the-e	ar; PP=plus powe wed inconsistent	r; HA=hearing	g aid; RM=remot ,	e micropł	none.		
2 cc coupler = 2 cc												
Roger Touchscreen			Hearing aid Ro	ger Touchscreen	(	• • Hear	ing aid Conn	ectClip			Hearing aid	
<b>↓</b>			M 10 audio shoe				<u>★</u> [	]				
Roger			Roger X receiver									
Test box				Test box	box (B) II Test box							
Figure 1 (A-C). Test setup for electroacoustic evaluation of HADS for direct audio input (Figure adapted from ANSI S3 47-2014). Left to right figure indicates direct audio input												
(DAI), induction loop (IL), direct digital streaming (DDS) transmission arrangements.												
Part 1: EAA measurement				Description						HA volume control		
				HFA outputs with a 90-dB SPL input						Full-or	ו <b>ו</b>	
				HFA outputs with a 50-dB SPL input								
HFA USPL 60				HFA outputs with a 60-dB SPL input								
Noise level with no input USPL in the coupler with no input Reference test gain										sigam		
Table 2. EAA	measurements desc	ribed in the AN	  S  \$3.47-2014.	۲۹ Note: OSPL=output sc	ound pressure	e level; FOG= full c	on gain; HFA=	high frequency (	1,1.6, 2.5	kHz ) avera	ige.	
Part 2	2: Transparency n	neasuremen	t lı	Inside test box Outside test box					Signal level (dB SPL)			
EHA 65				HA	Τοι	Touchscreen off or ConnectClip off			65			
EHA/DM 65			HA	+ DM receiver		Touchscreen off			65			
EDM/HA 65				Touchscreen on HA + DM receiver					65			
	ERM/HA 6	5	C	ConnectClip on HA					65			
Table 3. Measurements to determine transparency (Difference between three-frequency (.75, 1, 2kHz) average outputs of the HA and HADS with 65 dB SPL inputs should be within 2 dB based on AAA-2011 Guidelines). Note: E-electroacoustic: HA-baaring aid, DNA-digital modulated: BNA-remate microphene												
	50500 0117 0 0 1 2011	earaennesyn rro						opnonei				
RESULIS												
	A measurements		not observed	for HEA OSDI OO (		ording to ANSI	55.47-2014	4.				
Clinically	y-significant diffe	rences were	observed for	HFA FOG 50, HFA (	SPL 60, no	oise level with r	no input and	d EIN (>2dB).				
	OSPL90		HFA FOG 50		HFA (	HFA OSPL 60 Noise		el with no input		EIN		
Mean (SD)	Oticon Oticon		Oticon Oticon		Oticon	Oticon	Oticon	Oticon Oticon		)ticon	Oticon	
(00)	BTE PP	BTE PP	BTE PP	BTE PP	BTE PP	BTE PP	BTE PP	BTE PP	B	TE PP	BTE PP	
DAI	<b>123.4</b>	<b>123.38</b>	61.88	<b>61.76</b>	<b>47.18</b>	47.00	<b>71.60</b>	<b>72.98</b>	2	26.24	<b>27.26</b>	
	123.88	123.86	(1.33) 66.28	<b>69.24</b>	(0.39) <b>49.03</b>	(0.07) 51.02	(2.06) <b>71.72</b>	(1.64) <b>72.58</b>		1.59) 2.00	(0.50) <b>20.60</b>	
IL	(0.11)	(0.05)	(0.36)	(0.72)	(1.22)	(0.53)	(5.08)	(1.56)	(	0.28)	(0.53)	
DDS	<b>123.84</b>	<b>123.94</b>	<b>65.32</b>	<b>65.96</b>	<b>46.90</b>	<b>47.26</b>	<b>69.88</b>	<b>67.62</b>		<b>22.96</b>	<b>20.58</b>	
Table 4. Elec	troacoustic measure	ement values in	the two hearing	g aid models coupled	with three D	M transmission a	rrangements	. Note: See text f	or abbrev	iations.	(0.33)	
Part 2: Tra	nsparency measu	urements acr	ross HA mode	ls and transmissio	on arrange	ments accordin	ng to AAA-2	011 Guideline	s.			
Transparency values			Flat 50 dBH			L SNHL Transnaronov		Flat 100 dBHL SNHL				
Onn	DAI F	HA/DM65 - FD	M/HA65	-1.28(0.59)		Transparency ✓		1 48(0 37)	עכ) [rans 0.37)		sparency	
Play 2	IL L	EHA65 - EDM	/HA65	-1.78(1.00)		$\checkmark$		-1.17(0.46)	.46)		$\checkmark$	
BTE PP	DDS	EHA65 – ERM	/HA65	-0.88(0.49)		✓		-0.18(1.79)	79) 🗸		$\checkmark$	
Opn 3	DAI E	HA/DM65 - ED	M/HA65	-0.87(1.09)				0.48(0.25)	0.48(0.25)		<i>✓</i>	
BTE PP		EHA65 - EDM	/HA65 /HA65	-0.10(1.2	20) 84)			-0.02(0.52)	$\frac{2}{0} \qquad \qquad \checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Table 5. Elect	troacoustic transpar	ency measuren	nent values for e	each hearing aid coup	oled with thr	ve digital transmis	ssion arrange	ements. Note: BTI	) E=behind-	the-ear;	V	
SNHL=sensor	rineural hearing loss	; DAI=direct au	dio input; IL=inc	luction loop; DDS= di	rect digital s	reaming.	3PL					
dB 80		<u> </u>	dB 80	1		(3 - A	80 g			A	$\sim$	
70	2	~~~	70	2			70			~~~	M	
50			50				Ly 50				W.	
(A) DAI 1. FLIA (DNA CE												
<b>40</b> (A) C 1⋅FH	DAI A/DM 65	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	40	(B) IL 1: EHA 65			40	1: EHA 65				
<ul> <li>₄₀</li> <li>(A) D</li> <li>1:EH</li> <li>2:ED</li> </ul>	DAI A/DM 65 M/HA 65		40	(B) IL 1: EHA 65 2: EDM/HA 65			40 30 1 20	1: EHA 65 2: ERM/HA 65				
40 30 20 10 -25 -25	DAI A/DM 65 M/HA 65	Hz) 2	40 30 20 10	(B) IL 1: EHA 65 2: EDM/HA 65	1 (kHz)		40 30 20 10	1: EHA 65 2: ERM/HA 65	1 <	kHz) 2		

## **SUMMARY**

- Part 1 (EAA measurement):
- EAA across HADS in three digital transmission arrangements when the HAs were programmed to 100 dB HL SNHL revealed variable results in
  - HFA FOG 50 (range 62-69 dB SPL)
  - HFA OSPL 60 (47-51 dB SPL)
  - Noise level with no input (68-73 dB SPL)
  - EIN (21-27 dB)
- However, HFA OSPL90 was similar across the three arrangements (range 123-124 dB SPL).

### Part 2 (Transparency measurement):

- Transparency was met when the difference between three-frequency (.75, 1, 2kHz) average outputs of the HA and HADS with 65 dB SPL inputs was within 2 dB.
- All three transmission arrangements revealed desired transparency in both hearing aids for both degrees of hearing loss without any adjustments required.
  - Direct audio input: Output for HA and HADS matched within 2-3 dB across the frequency spectrum (Figure 2A).
  - Induction loop: Reduced outputs were noted below 1kHz. Transparency was still achieved based on the three-frequency average (Figure 2B).
  - Direct digital streaming: Increased outputs were noted above 2kHz. Transparency was still achieved based on the three-frequency average (Figure 2C).

### IMPLICATIONS

- EAA findings suggest the need for specification sheets for HADS across manufacturers to determine if the devices are meeting specifications.
- Evaluation across HADS in different digital transmission arrangements revealed desirable transparency per AAA-2011 Guidelines.
- Frequency output curves may not be closely matched even when transparency was achieved. This supports the critical need of electroacoustic evaluation for HADS.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A special thank you to Oticon and Phonak for providing the wireless technology.

### REFERENCES

- American Academy of Audiology Clinical Practice Guidelines. (2011). Remote Microphone Hearing Assistance Technologies for Children and Youth from Birth to 21 Years (Includes Supplement A). Retrieved from https://www.audiology.org/publications-resources/document-library/hearingassistance-technologies
- American National Standards Institute. (2014). ANSI/ASA S3.47. Specification of performance measurement of hearing assistance devices/systems. Retrieved from https://global.ihs.com/doc\_detail.cfm?gid=INBPHFAAAAAAAAAAAAAinput\_doc\_number=ASA
- Seewald, R., Moodie, S., Scollie, S., & Bagatto, M. (2005). The DSL method for pediatric hearing instrument fitting: Historical perspective and current issues. Trends in Amplification, 9(4), 145-157.