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#### Abstract

A donor-acceptor [3]catenane incorporating two cyclobis(paraquat- $p$-phenylene) rings linked together by a dinaphtho[50]crown-14 macrocycle possesses a $\pi$-electron-deficient pocket. Contrary to expectation, negligible binding of a hexaethylene glycol chain interrupted in its midriff by a $\pi$-electron-rich 1,5 dioxynaphthalene unit was observed in acetonitrile. However, a fortuitous solid-state superstructure of the expected $1: 1$ complex revealed its inability to embrace any stabilizing [ $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ ] interactions between the clearly unwelcome guest and the host reluctantly accommodating it. By contrast, in aqueous solution, the $1: 1$ complex becomes very stable thanks to the intervention of hydrophobic bonding.


Since the advent of the field of chemical topology ${ }^{1}$ in 1961, chemists have devised ${ }^{2}$ myriad different ways of making mechanically interlocked molecules ${ }^{3}$ (MIMs). When designing and making molecular expressions of figures-of-eight, ${ }^{4}$ Trefoil knots, ${ }^{5}$ figure-of-eight knots, ${ }^{6}$ pentafoil knots, ${ }^{7}$ Solomon links, ${ }^{8}$ Borromean rings, ${ }^{9}$ and ravels, ${ }^{10}$ synthetic chemists more often than not call on their knowledge of host-guest chemistry as a mechanism of introducing topology into MIMs, such as in the case of catenanes that can be self-assembled from a ring (host) and a chain (guest) by a "threading-followed-by-clipping" approach. ${ }^{11}$ A relatively little explored strategy for preparing topologically complex structures is to utilize MIMs themselves as hosts for guests. There are two reported ${ }^{12}$ donor (D)-acceptor (A) [2]catenanes having potential electron-deficient recognition sites for electron-rich substrates in the form of chains whose termini can then be capped with bulky stoppers to form MIMs; we have coined ${ }^{13}$ the term "rotacatenane" to describe these structures. Other literature examples of catenanes acting as hosts are rare and have involved the retention of templates implicated in their syntheses. ${ }^{14} \mathrm{We}$ report herein the templatedirected synthesis of a flexible D-A [3]catenane that, through a particular disposition of its three rings in an appropriate medium (water), can act as a host for a chainlike guest, resulting in the formation of a $1: 1$ complex with a hitherto unknown topology that we have termed a [2]pseudorota[3]catenane. The D-A solid-state superstructure shown in Figure 1a, ${ }^{15}$ which consists of a [3]pseudorotaxane formed by electron-deficient cyclobis(paraquat- $p$-phenylene) (CBPQT ${ }^{4+}$ ) rings and an electron-rich threadlike compound composed of


Figure 1. (a) Tubular representation of the solid-state superstructure ${ }^{15}$ of a [3]pseudorotaxane exhibiting an ADADADA-stacked arrangement. (b) Structural representation of the [2]pseudorota[3]catenane BHEEEN $\subset C^{8+}$ formed when the [3]catenane $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ binds BHEEEN. The labeling of protons used later in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic assignments is also shown.
three 1,5-dioxynaphthalene (DNP) units linked together by oligoethylene glycol loops, displays a well-ordered ADADADA stack of seven aromatic rings, leading us to question whether a similar recognition motif could be realized with a completely different connectivity linking the units of the ADADADA stack. The outcome of catenating a sufficiently large crown ether containing two DNP units, both encircled by $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings, is the [3]catenane $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$, which features a binding pocket that is apparently capable, both sterically and electronically, of forming a $1: 1$ complex with an appropriate guest such as 1,5 -bis [2-(2-(2-hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy]naphthalene (BHEEEN) to afford a [2]pseudorota[3]catenane (Figure 1b). ${ }^{16}$
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Figure 2. Solid-state structures of the four polymorphs of $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ solved by X-ray crystallography. (a-d) Tubular representations of (a) $\mathrm{C}^{8+}-\mathrm{I}$, (b) $\mathrm{C}^{8+}-$ II, (c) $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$-III, and (d) $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$-IV rendered with DN50C14 in red and the $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings in blue, illustrating the different coconformations that $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$ adopts in the various structures. (e) View of $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$-II from a different angle, showing in yellow the H atoms of the $\mathrm{CBPQT}{ }^{4+}$ ring involved in [C$\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ ] contacts, which are shown as dashed black lines. A center of inversion repeats these interactions in both halves of the [3]catenane. (f) Spacefilling representation of $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$-IV showing the ca. $7 \AA$ binding pocket for guests in its interior. All counterions $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}\right), \mathrm{H}^{2}$ atoms not involved in $[\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}]$ contacts, and solvent molecules have been removed for clarity. CCDC depositions 898082-898085 contain all of the crystallographic data for these structures (see section S5).

Guided by some preliminary molecular modeling, we identified 1,5-dinaphtho[50]crown-14 (DN50C14) ${ }^{17}$ as the crown ether of choice because we expected that, when two CBPQT ${ }^{4+}$ cyclophanes were clipped around its DNP units, its long polyether loops would endow the resulting [3]catenane $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$ with the flexibility to accommodate guests within a potential binding pocket in its interior (Figure 1b). The synthesis of $\mathbf{C} \cdot 8 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was achieved in $35 \%$ yield by employing DN50C14 as a template; the precursors ( 5 equiv each) were combined routinely ${ }^{18}$ with 1 equiv of the crown ether in order to clip $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings around DNP units in DMF under ultrahigh pressure ( 15 kbar ) for 5 days at room temperature, followed by counterion exchange [see section S2 in the Supporting Information (SI)]. Significant amounts of the intermediate [2] catenane ${ }^{19}$ were also obtained (see section S3).

The symmetry and flexibility of $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ in solution was confirmed by the simplicity of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (recorded for the $8 \mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$salt in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at 253 K ), which exhibits only three resonances for its DNP protons and eight signals for its $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ protons as a consequence of the local $C_{2}$ symmetry of the DNP units commuting with the $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings (see section S4). The two halves of the [3]catenane are related by averaged $D_{2 h}$ symmetry. An even simpler ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum commensurate with rapid realignment ${ }^{20}$ of the DNP units in the cavities of the $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings was recorded ${ }^{21}$ when a $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{SOCD}_{3}$ solution of $\mathrm{C} \cdot 8 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was used at 363 K (see section S5).

The flexibility of $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ was further confirmed by the fact that no fewer than four individual solid-state structures of their $8 \mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$salts were characterized $\left(\mathbf{C}^{8+}-\mathrm{I}, \mathbf{C}^{8+}\right.$-II, $\mathbf{C}^{8+}-\mathrm{III}$, and $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ IV; Figure 2a-d), in which the [3]catenane adopts different coconformations. Displaying these coconformations alongside
one another illustrates the range of relative geometries that $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ is able to visit. The differences can be enumerated by considering the relative spatial arrangements of the $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ ring in $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$-I-IV (see section S5), where a common feature is the propensity for short $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ ] contacts to form between the oxygen atoms of the polyether loops of the DN50C14 ring and the protons of the $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings (as illustrated for $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$-II in Figure 2e). These noncovalent bonding interactions are known to be significant contributors to the stability ${ }^{16,22}$ of related MIMs and supramolecular analogues and are the most likely coconformationally directing forces in $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$-I-IV. The relative disposition of the $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings in $\mathbf{C}^{8+}-\mathrm{IV}$, where the inner bipyridinium ( $\mathrm{bipy}^{2+}$ ) units are separated by ca. $7 \AA$ (Figure 2d,f), illustrates only too well the potential binding pocket available for the complexation of guests containing aromatic groups.

Next, we employed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to assess the extent of binding of an aromatic guest with polyether loops to aid and abet its complexation by the [3]catenane. BHEEEN (Figure 1b) was chosen on account of its constitutional similarities to compounds employed in the efficient selfassembly of D-A oligorotaxanes. ${ }^{15}$ Initial experiments carried out with $\mathrm{C} \cdot 8 \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solutions, however, showed little evidence for the formation of a strong $1: 1$ complex. The differences between the chemical shifts of the DNP protons in BHEEEN (ca. 0.05 ppm ) and the bipy ${ }^{2+}$ protons in $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ (ca. 0.1 ppm ) in the absence and presence of up to 5 equiv of the potential guest were close to being inconsequential. UV/vis spectroscopic titrations (see section S7) revealed a binding constant of only $33 \pm 12 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ in MeCN , that is, the [3]catenane hardly binds BHEEEN at all in MeCN. Despite the weakness of this $1: 1$ complex in MeCN , we were able to
obtain, quite fortuitously, single crystals of BHEEENCC•8PF by vapor diffusion with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{23}$ X-ray crystallographic analysis of the superstructure (see section S8) showed that the polyether loops in $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ prevent the triethylene glycol chains in BHEEEN from garnering any significant [ $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ ] interactions with the [3]catenane, thus denying the $1: 1$ complex the very interactions that have been shown ${ }^{16,22}$ to provide substantial stabilization of $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}$ assemblies in MeCN . A detailed analysis of the solid-state superstructure (Figure 3a) revealed the expected ADADADA stacking, wherein BHEEEN is nestled between the two $\mathrm{CBPQT}^{4+}$ rings of $\mathrm{C}^{8+}$ with interplanar distances of $3.22-3.30 \AA$ between the aromatic rings; this is similar to the stacking previously observed ${ }^{15,24}$ in pseudorotaxanes except for one crucial difference (Figure 3b): the DNP unit of BHEEEN is not aligned in register with the bipyridinium units in $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ but rather is offset by $2 \AA$, while still being engaged in $[\pi \cdots \pi]$ interactions with one of the pyridinium rings on one side of each of the sandwiching CBPQT ${ }^{4+}$ rings. The location of the guest at one side of the potential binding pocket (Figure 3c) results in a pinching together of the CBPQT ${ }^{4+}$ rings and their enforced deviation from coplanarity by ca. $7.9 \AA$ (Figure 3d). The superstructure does show that the pocket is sufficiently large to accommodate BHEEEN as a guest.


Figure 3. Solid-state superstructure of the complex BHEEEN $\subset C^{8+}$. (a-c) Tubular representations of the superstructure, with the tetracationic cyclophanes rendered in blue, the crown ether in red, and BHEEEN in dark red, showing (a) the ADADADA stack from above and ( $b, c$ ) the offset nature of the DNP moiety of BHEEEN in the center of the stack (b) from the side and (c) from above. All of the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ions, H atoms not involved in [C-H‥O] contacts, and solvent molecules have been removed for clarity. CCDC deposition 898081 contains all of the crystallographic data (see the SI). (d) Schematic diagram illustrating pertinent distances (in $\AA$ ) and angles between the components of the [2]pseudorota[3]catenane.

While the absence of $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ ] interactions precludes the formation of a stable $1: 1$ complex in MeCN , it is wellknown ${ }^{25,26}$ that hydrophobic forces operate quite independently of $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}]$ interactions in water. Hence, the [3]catenane was rendered water-soluble by exchanging its eight $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$counterions for eight $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$anions. Although BHEEEN is only sparingly soluble in water, dissolving $\mathrm{C} \cdot 8 \mathrm{Cl}$ in an equimolar suspension of BHEEEN in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded a clear solution ${ }^{27}$ of the [3]catenane, indicating the formation of a $1: 1$ complex, a fact that was confirmed by the significant
movements of resonances associated with the aromatic protons in the partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum recorded at 293 K (Figure 4). While $\mathrm{H}_{4 / 8}, \mathrm{H}_{3 / 7}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2 / 6}$ in BHEEEN experienced upfield shifts of $-0.56,-0.33$, and -0.34 ppm , respectively, all of the signals of the aromatic protons in $\mathbf{C}^{8+}$ were also shifted upfield by quite substantial margins, suggesting the formation of a tight yet dynamic $1: 1$ complex. ${ }^{28}$


Figure 4. Stacked partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 293 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), with structural assignments annotated, of (a) the guest BHEEEN, (b) a 1:1 mixture of BHEEEN and $\mathbf{C} \cdot 8 \mathrm{Cl}$, and (c) $\mathbf{C} \cdot 8 \mathrm{Cl}$ alone.

The hydrophobic bonding of an aromatic guest within the binding pocket of an octacationic [3]catenane that is rendered soluble in water by its eight accompanying chloride anions constitutes a rare example of a mechanically interlocked molecule that itself acts as an aromatic-rich host. We are currently exploring the potential of this water-soluble supramolecular synthon in the template-directed synthesis of compounds containing multiple mechanical bonds in aqueous solutions.
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